

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 AUG 14 PM 3:19

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE ~~Brooks~~ **Major** US DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 FROM: R. Mullin Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/7/2008  
 CASE NO.: 07cv2183 DMS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner BY Rm DEPUTY  
 CASE TITLE: Cunningham v. Marshall  
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Lodgment IV: Memorandum

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>Supplemental documents require court order</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<b>OTHER:</b>
		- Motion not signed.
		- Motion not served on opposing counsel. Date forwarded: <u>8/8/2008</u>
		<b><u>ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u></b>

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: BARRAZA MAJOR

Dated: 8/13/08  
cc: All Parties

By: FADEM (clerk)

**REJECTED**

MOTION for COURT ORDER (EViction NOTICE)

LOGMENT: MEMORANDUM NO. 307-CV-02183IV.CASE NO. 5161389